Exâminer-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/711,824	SMITH, ROBERT I.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kristie A. Mahone	3751
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>		
(1) Kristie A. Mahone.	(3)	
(2) Anton Hopen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 May 2007</u>	Time: 9:00 A.M.	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
	•	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:	•	
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		,
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	the examiner will provide a writ e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
Roll of		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The inconsistent recitation of a "holding tank" and "toilet tank" in Claims, 1,5, and 6. was explained to Applicant's attorney, Mr. Hopen. To resolve the inconsistency, Examiner Mahone proposed deletion of the the terms "holding" and/or "toilet", such that the claims simply recite a "tank". Mr. Hopen approved.